REMARKS

Claims 1-14 are pending and under consideration. The rejections are traversed below.

REJECTIONS under 35 U.S.C. 103

Claims 1-12 stand rejected as obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Cambique in view of Inaba. Cambique is directed to monitoring, maintenance and support of industrial based machines. Inaba is directed to recording molding conditions including conditions that caused defects in molding process as well as proper molding conditions. Neither Cambigue or Inaba teach a "molding machine connected to said network." Cambique discusses a numerically controlled device attached to a microcomputer and Inaba discusses a numerically controlled device used in the injection molding process. Inaba does not disclose a network or other means of interconnection with an outside processor. Therefore, there is no suggestion to combine the molding condition recording apparatus of Inaba as the numerically controlled machine of Cambique. Further, Cambique does not disclose "a printer for printing molding information of said molding machine." Cambique discusses a maintenance printer 17 Fig.1 and high speed line printer 19 Fig. 1. It is not suggested by the reference that either of these printers prints "molding information." Further, Cambique does not disclose "an instructing section for instructing printing of said molding information and transferring a command to said print control section through said network." Cambigue does not require a separate instruction section to print molding information as a request by a user is only printed to a CRT as seen in Fig. 8B. The step of "REQUESTING REPORT?" and if the answer is YES then, "DISPLAY REQUESTED MACHINE DATA ON CRT." Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that Cambique and Inaba, taken separately or in combination fail to teach the limitations of claim 1 and the claims that depend therefrom.

Claims 13 and 14 stand rejected as obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Cambique together with Inaba in further view of Shiohara. Shiohara adds nothing to Cambique and Inaba as regards the independent claim and therefore the combination of Cambique, Inaba and Shiohara fail to teach or suggest the elements of dependent claims 13 and 14.

SUMMARY

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

Serial No. 10/790,117

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: October 23, 2006

By: /James J. Livingston/
James J. Livingston
Registration No. 55,394

1201 New York Avenue, NW, 7th Floor

Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 434-1500 Facsimile: (202) 434-1501